

Case No. 6:23-cv-1112-LCB-GMB

If a party objects to a portion of a Magistrate Judge’s report or proposed findings or recommendations, the district court must review *de novo* those portions of the report to which the party has specifically objected. [28 U.S.C. § 636\(b\)\(1\); FED. R. CIV. P. 72\(b\)](#). Unchallenged portions of a Magistrate Judge’s report are reviewed for clear error. *Haywood v. Green*, No. 1:21-cv-01112-LCB-HNJ, 2023 WL 6303027, at *1 (N.D. Ala. Sept. 27, 2023). In its review, the district court may “accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part,” the Magistrate Judge’s findings or recommendations. [28 U.S.C. § 636\(b\)\(1\)\(C\)](#).

Having reviewed the proposed findings and recommendations for clear error, the Court concludes that the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (Doc. 5) should be **ACCEPTED** and hereby **ADOPTS** it as the findings of the Court. The case is therefore **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**.

The Clerk of Court is **DIRECTED** to close the case.

DONE and **ORDERED** this November 17, 2023.



LILES C. BURKE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE